APPROXIMATE CHARACTERIZATIONS OF PROJECTIVITY AND INJECTIVITY FOR BANACH MODULES

A. YU. PIRKOVSKII

ABSTRACT. We characterize projective and injective Banach modules in approximate terms, generalizing thereby a characterization of contractible Banach algebras given by F. Ghahramani and R. J. Loy. As a corollary, we show that each uniformly approximately amenable Banach algebra is amenable. Some applications to homological dimensions of Banach modules and algebras are also given.

In [3], F. Ghahramani and R. J. Loy introduced several "approximate" generalizations of amenability and contractibility for Banach algebras. Among other things, they defined uniformly approximately contractible and uniformly approximately amenable Banach algebras and proved that a uniformly approximately contractible algebra is in fact contractible. In this note we extend their approach to the setting of Banach modules by showing that projective and injective Banach modules can be characterized in approximate terms. As a corollary, we obtain approximate characterizations of biprojective, biflat and amenable Banach algebras. In particular, we prove that a uniformly approximately amenable algebra is automatically amenable, and we obtain an alternative proof of the above-mentioned result of F. Ghahramani and R. J. Loy concerning uniform approximate contractibility.

1. Preliminaries

We begin by recalling some notation and some basic facts from the homology theory of Banach algebras. For details, we refer to [5, 6, 2].

Let A be a Banach algebra. We denote by A-mod (respectively, mod-A, A-mod-A) the category of left Banach A-modules (respectively, right Banach A-modules, Banach A-bimodules). For X, Y in any of the above categories, the space of morphisms from X to Y is denoted by ${}_{A}\mathbf{h}(X,Y)$ (respectively, $\mathbf{h}_{A}(X,Y)$, ${}_{A}\mathbf{h}_{A}(X,Y)$). The space of bounded linear operators between Banach spaces X and Y is denoted by $\mathscr{B}(X,Y)$. If A is unital, then A-unmod, unmod-A, and A-unmod-A stand for the corresponding categories of unital Banach A-modules. The unitization of A is denoted by A_+ . Recall that A-mod-A

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46M10, 46M18, 46H25; Secondary 18G05, 18G15, 18G50.

The main results of this paper were obtained whilst the author held a Royal Society/NATO Postdoctoral Fellowship at the University of Leeds in 2004–2005. The author acknowledges with thanks this financial support. The author is also grateful to H. G. Dales and to the School of Mathematics of the University of Leeds for hospitality. This work was also partially supported by RFBR grants 05-01-00982 and 05-01-00001.

is isomorphic to A^e -unmod, where $A^e = A_+ \widehat{\otimes} A_+^{\text{op}}$ is the enveloping algebra of A.

A chain complex X_{\bullet} in A-mod is admissible if it splits in the category of Banach spaces. A morphism \varkappa (respectively, σ) in A-mod is an admissible monomorphism (respectively, an admissible epimorphism) if it fits into a short admissible sequence $0 \to X \xrightarrow{\varkappa} Y \xrightarrow{\sigma} Z \to 0$. A left Banach A-module P is projective if for each admissible epimorphism $X \to Y$ in A-mod the induced map ${}_A\mathbf{h}(P,X) \to {}_A\mathbf{h}(P,Y)$ is onto. Dually, a left Banach A-module I is injective if for each admissible monomorphism $Y \to X$ in A-mod the induced map ${}_A\mathbf{h}(X,I) \to {}_A\mathbf{h}(Y,I)$ is onto. A left Banach A-module F is flat if for each admissible monomorphism $Y \to X$ in mod-A the operator $Y \otimes_A F \to X \otimes_A F$ is topologically injective (i.e., bounded below). Equivalently, F is flat if and only if the dual module, F^* , is injective in mod-A. The Banach algebra A is biprojective (respectively, biflat) if A is projective (respectively, flat) in A-mod-A.

An important fact is that the category A-mod has enough projectives and enough injectives. This means that for each $X \in A$ -mod there exists a projective module $P \in A$ -mod (respectively, an injective module $I \in A$ -mod) and an admissible epimorphism $P \to X$ (respectively, an admissible monomorphism $X \to I$). The same is true of the categories mod-A, A-mod-A etc.

A projective resolution of $X \in A$ -mod is a chain complex $P_{\bullet} = (P_n, d_n)_{n > 0}$ in A-mod consisting of projective modules together with a morphism $\varepsilon \colon P_0 \to X$ such that the augmented sequence $P_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} X \to 0$ is an admissible complex. By reversing arrows, we obtain the definition of an *injective resolution* of $X \in A$ -mod. Since A-mod has enough projectives and enough injectives, it follows that each $X \in A$ -mod has a projective resolution and an injective resolution. For $X,Y \in A$ -mod, the space $\operatorname{Ext}_A^n(X,Y)$ is defined to be the nth cohomology of the complex ${}_{A}\mathbf{h}(P_{\bullet},Y)$, where P_{\bullet} is a projective resolution of X. Equivalently, $\operatorname{Ext}_A^n(X,Y)$ is the nth cohomology of the complex ${}_A\mathbf{h}(X,I^{\bullet})$, where I^{\bullet} is an injective resolution of Y. Note that $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n}(X,Y)$ is a seminormed space in a canonical way. Recall that $X \in A$ -mod is projective (respectively, injective) if and only if $\operatorname{Ext}_A^1(X,Y) = 0$ (respectively, $\operatorname{Ext}_A^1(Y,X) = 0$) for all $Y \in A$ -mod. For each $X \in A$ -mod and each $Y \in \text{mod-}A$, there is a natural topological isomorphism between $\operatorname{Ext}_A^n(X,Y^*)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{A^{\operatorname{op}}}^n(Y,X^*)$. If $X \in A$ -mod-A, then $\operatorname{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A_+, X)$ is topologically isomorphic to $\mathscr{H}^n(A, X)$, the nth continuous Hochschild cohomology group of A with coefficients in X.

We shall use the following explicit description of the space $\operatorname{Ext}_A^1(X,Y)$ (see [6, 7.3.19]). Let A be a Banach algebra and let $X,Y\in A\operatorname{-mod}$. Denote by $Z^1(A\times X,Y)$ the Banach space of all continuous bilinear maps $f\colon A\times X\to Y$ satisfying

$$a \cdot f(b, x) - f(ab, x) + f(a, b \cdot x) = 0 \qquad (a, b \in A, x \in X).$$

Define $\delta^0 \colon \mathscr{B}(X,Y) \to Z^1(A \times X,Y)$ by

$$(\delta^0 T)(a, x) = a \cdot T(x) - T(a \cdot x) \qquad (a \in A, x \in X).$$

Then we have

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}(X,Y) = Z^{1}(A \times X,Y) / \operatorname{Im} \delta^{0}. \tag{1}$$

A Banach algebra A is contractible [6] (respectively, amenable [7]) if for each Banach A-bimodule X every continuous derivation from A to X (respectively, to X^*) is inner. Equivalently, A is contractible (respectively, amenable) if and only if A_+ is biprojective (respectively, biflat).

Given Banach spaces X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y , we denote by $\mathscr{B}^n(X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n, Y)$ the Banach space of *n*-linear continuous maps from $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ to Y. The canonical embedding of a Banach space X into X^{**} will be denoted by i_X .

2. Projective and injective Banach modules

The following lemma is a version of [10, Lemme 1] (see also [5, 0.5.9]).

Lemma 2.1. Let $0 \to X^{\bullet} \to Y^{\bullet} \to Z^{\bullet} \to 0$ be a short exact sequence of cochain complexes of Banach spaces. Suppose that some map f belonging to the long exact cohomology sequence

$$\cdots \to H^n(X^{\bullet}) \to H^n(Y^{\bullet}) \to H^n(Z^{\bullet}) \to H^{n+1}(X^{\bullet}) \to \cdots$$

is surjective. Then f is open.

For a proof, see [9, Lemma 1.2].

Lemma 2.2. *Let*

$$0 \to X \xrightarrow{\varkappa} Y \xrightarrow{\sigma} Z \to 0 \tag{2}$$

be an admissible sequence in A-mod. Suppose that the topology on $\operatorname{Ext}_A^1(Z,X)$ is trivial, and that either $\operatorname{Ext}_A^1(Y,X)=0$ or $\operatorname{Ext}_A^1(Z,Y)=0$. Then (2) splits in A-mod.

Proof. First suppose that $\operatorname{Ext}_A^1(Y,X) = 0$. Applying the functor ${}_A\mathbf{h}(\,\cdot\,,X)$ to (2), we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to {}_{A}\mathbf{h}(Z,X) \to {}_{A}\mathbf{h}(Y,X) \xrightarrow{\varkappa^*} {}_{A}\mathbf{h}(X,X) \xrightarrow{\delta} \operatorname{Ext}_A^1(Z,X) \to 0.$$

By Lemma 2.1, δ is open. Therefore the triviality of the topology on $\operatorname{Ext}_A^1(Z,X)$ means exactly that \varkappa^* has dense range. Since the set of invertible elements in ${}_A\mathbf{h}(X,X)$ is open, there exists $\varphi \in {}_A\mathbf{h}(Y,X)$ such that $\varkappa^*(\varphi) = \varphi \varkappa$ is invertible in ${}_A\mathbf{h}(X,X)$. Therefore $(\varphi \varkappa)^{-1}\varphi \colon Y \to X$ is a left inverse of \varkappa , and so (2) splits in A-mod.

Now suppose that $\operatorname{Ext}\nolimits_A^1(Z,Y)=0.$ The same argument as above applied to the exact sequence

$$0 \to {}_{A}\mathbf{h}(Z,X) \to {}_{A}\mathbf{h}(Z,Y) \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} {}_{A}\mathbf{h}(Z,Z) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_A(Z,X) \to 0$$

yields $\varphi \in {}_{A}\mathbf{h}(Z,Y)$ such that $\sigma_*(\varphi) = \sigma \varphi$ is invertible in ${}_{A}\mathbf{h}(Z,Z)$. Therefore $\varphi(\sigma \varphi)^{-1} \colon Z \to Y$ is a right inverse of σ , and so (2) splits in A-mod.

Proposition 2.3. Let A be a Banach algebra and let $X \in A$ -mod.

- (i) Suppose that the topology on $\operatorname{Ext}_A^1(X,Y)$ is trivial for each $Y \in A$ -mod. Then X is projective.
- (ii) Suppose that the topology on $\operatorname{Ext}_A^1(Y,X)$ is trivial for each $Y \in A\operatorname{-mod}$. Then X is injective.

Proof. (i) Take an admissible sequence

$$0 \to Y \to P \to X \to 0 \tag{3}$$

with P projective. Clearly, (3) satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.2. Therefore (3) splits in A-mod, and so X is projective.

(ii) Take an admissible sequence

$$0 \to X \to I \to Y \to 0 \tag{4}$$

with I injective. Then the same argument as above shows that (4) splits in A-mod, and so X is injective. \Box

Remark 2.1. If A is unital, then there are obvious analogues of Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 for the category A-unmod.

Let A be a Banach algebra and X a Banach A-bimodule. Given $x \in X$, denote by ad_x the inner derivation $A \to X$, $a \mapsto [a,x]$. By definition [3], A is uniformly approximately contractible (respectively, uniformly approximately amenable) if for each Banach A-bimodule X and each continuous derivation $D: A \to X$ (respectively, $D: A \to X^*$) there exists a net $\{x_\nu\}$ in X (respectively, in X^*) such that $D = \lim_{\nu} \mathrm{ad}_{x_{\nu}}$ in the norm topology. Equivalently, A is uniformly approximately contractible (respectively, uniformly approximately amenable) if for each Banach A-bimodule X the topology on $\mathscr{H}^1(A, X)$ (respectively, on $\mathscr{H}^1(A, X^*)$) is trivial.

Part (i) of the following corollary is due to F. Ghahramani and R. J. Loy [3].

Corollary 2.4. Let A be a Banach algebra.

- (i) Suppose that A is uniformly approximately contractible. Then A is contractible.
 - (ii) Suppose that A is uniformly approximately amenable. Then A is amenable.

Proof. (i) Since $\mathscr{H}^1(A,X) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{A^e}^1(A_+,X)$, Proposition 2.3 (i) (cf. also Remark 2.1) implies that A_+ is projective in A-mod-A, i.e., that A is contractible.

- (ii) Since $\mathscr{H}^1(A, X^*) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_{A^e}(A_+, X^*) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_{A^e}(X, A_+^*)$, Proposition 2.3 (ii) implies that A_+^* is injective in $A\operatorname{-mod-}A$, i.e., that A_+ is flat in $A\operatorname{-mod-}A$, i.e., that A is amenable. \square
- Remark 2.2. An alternative proof of part (ii) of Corollary 2.4 (avoiding injective modules and Ext-spaces) was recently given in [4].

In order to characterize projective and injective Banach modules in approximate terms, it will be convenient to give the following definition.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a Banach algebra, and let $X, Y \in A$ -mod. A uniform approximate morphism from X to Y is a net $\{\varphi_{\nu}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ such that $\varphi_{\nu}(a \cdot x) - a \cdot \varphi_{\nu}(x) \to 0$ uniformly on bounded subsets of A and X.

Similarly one defines uniform approximate morphisms of right Banach A-modules. If $X, Y \in A$ -mod-A, we say that a net $\{\varphi_{\nu}\}$ in $\mathscr{B}(X, Y)$ is a uniform approximate A-bimodule morphism if it is a uniform approximate morphism of left and right Banach A-modules.

Lemma 2.5. (i) Let $X, Y \in A$ -mod-A. A net $\{\varphi_{\nu}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ is a uniform approximate A-bimodule morphism if and only if it is a uniform approximate left A^{e} -module morphism.

- (ii) Let $X, Y \in A$ -mod. A net $\{\varphi_{\nu}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ is a uniform approximate morphism if and only if $\{\varphi_{\nu}^*\}$ is a uniform approximate morphism from Y^* to X^* .
- (iii) If $X, Y \in A$ -mod and $\{\varphi_{\nu}\}$ is a uniform approximate morphism from X to Y, then for each pair of morphisms $\psi \in {}_{A}\mathbf{h}(Y, Z)$ and $\tau \in {}_{A}\mathbf{h}(W, X)$ the net $\{\psi\varphi_{\nu}\tau\}$ is a uniform approximate morphism from W to Z.

Proof. (i) The "if" part is clear. Conversely, let $\{\varphi_{\nu}\}$ be a uniform approximate A-bimodule morphism. For each ν define a trilinear map $\Phi_{\nu} \in \mathscr{B}^{3}(A_{+} \times X \times A_{+}, Y)$ by

$$\Phi_{\nu}(a, x, b) = \varphi_{\nu}(a \cdot x \cdot b) - a \cdot \varphi_{\nu}(x) \cdot b.$$

Then we have

$$\|\Phi_{\nu}(a,x,b)\| \le \|\varphi_{\nu}(a\cdot x\cdot b) - a\cdot \varphi_{\nu}(x\cdot b)\| + \|a\|\|\varphi_{\nu}(x\cdot b) - \varphi_{\nu}(x)\cdot b\|,$$

and so $\Phi_{\nu} \to 0$ in $\mathscr{B}^3(A_+ \times X \times A_+, Y)$. Now it remains to apply the canonical isometric isomorphism $\mathscr{B}^3(A_+ \times X \times A_+, Y) \cong \mathscr{B}^2(A^e \times X, Y)$.

(ii) For each $a \in A$, let $L_a \colon X \to X$ (respectively, $R_a \colon X^* \to X^*$) denote the left (respectively, right) multiplication by a. Since $R_a = L_a^*$, we have

$$||L_a \varphi_{\nu} - \varphi_{\nu} L_a|| = ||\varphi_{\nu}^* R_a - R_a \varphi_{\nu}^*||.$$
 (5)

On the other hand, $\{\varphi_{\nu}\}$ (respectively, $\{\varphi_{\nu}^{*}\}$) is a uniform approximate morphism if and only if the left-hand side (respectively, the right-hand side) of (5) converges to 0 uniformly on bounded subsets of A. This proves (ii).

(iii) This is a direct computation.

Theorem 2.6. Let A be a Banach algebra. The following properties of $P \in A$ -mod are equivalent:

- (i) P is projective.
- (ii) The topology on $\operatorname{Ext}_A^1(P,X)$ is trivial for each $X \in A$ -mod.
- (iii) For each diagram

in A-mod with σ an admissible epimorphism, there exists a net $\{\varphi_{\nu}\}\$ in ${}_{A}\mathbf{h}(P,X)$ such that $\sigma\varphi_{\nu}\to\varphi$ in the norm topology. Equivalently, for each admissible epimorphism $X\to Y$ in A-mod the induced map ${}_{A}\mathbf{h}(P,X)\to{}_{A}\mathbf{h}(P,Y)$ has dense range.

- (iv) For each diagram (6) in A-mod with σ an admissible epimorphism, there exists a uniform approximate morphism $\{\psi_{\nu}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(P,X)$ such that $\sigma\psi_{\nu} = \varphi$ for all ν .
- (v) For each diagram (6) in A-mod with σ an admissible epimorphism, there exists a uniform approximate morphism $\{\psi_{\nu}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(P,X)$ such that $\sigma\psi_{\nu} \to \varphi$ in the norm topology.

- (vi) There exists a projective module $F \in A$ -mod, an admissible epimorphism $\pi: F \to P$ and a uniform approximate morphism $\{\rho_{\nu}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(P, F)$ such that $\pi \rho_{\nu} \to \mathbf{1}_{P}$ in the norm topology.
- (vii) For each $X \in A$ -mod and each $f \in Z^1(A \times P, X)$, there exists a net $\{T_{\nu}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(P, X)$ such that $\delta^0 T_{\nu} \to f$ in the norm topology.

Proof. (i) \iff (ii). This follows from Proposition 2.3 (i).

- (ii) \iff (vii). This follows from (1).
- $(i) \Longrightarrow (iii)$. This is clear.
- (iii) \Longrightarrow (iv). Given diagram (6), find a net $\{\varphi_{\nu}\}$ in ${}_{A}\mathbf{h}(P,X)$ satisfying (iii). Let $\rho \in \mathcal{B}(Y,X)$ be a right inverse of σ . For each ν , set $\psi_{\nu} = \varphi_{\nu} + \rho(\varphi \sigma\varphi_{\nu})$. Then it is clear that $\sigma\psi_{\nu} = \varphi$ for all ν , and

$$\|\psi_{\nu}(a \cdot x) - a \cdot \psi_{\nu}(x)\| \le 2\|\rho\| \|\varphi - \sigma\varphi_{\nu}\| \|a\| \|x\| \to 0$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of A and X. Therefore the net $\{\psi_{\nu}\}$ satisfies (iv), as required.

- $(iv) \Longrightarrow (v) \Longrightarrow (vi)$. This is clear.
- $(vi) \Longrightarrow (v)$. Using the projectivity of F, we can complete each diagram of the form (6) to a commutative diagram

$$F \xrightarrow{\pi} P$$

$$\downarrow \varphi$$

$$\downarrow \varphi$$

$$X \xrightarrow{\sigma} Y$$

in A-mod. Applying Lemma 2.5, we see that the net $\psi_{\nu} = \tau \rho_{\nu}$ satisfies (v).

(v) \Longrightarrow (vii). Given $f \in Z^1(A \times P, X)$, define $P \times_f X \in A$ -mod as follows. As a Banach space, $P \times_f X$ coincides with $P \times X$. For each $a \in A$ and each $(p, x) \in P \times_f X$, set

$$a \cdot (p, x) = (a \cdot p, a \cdot x - f(a, p)).$$

Then it is easy to check (cf. [6, 7.2.38], [5, I.1.9]) that $P \times_f X$ is a left Banach A-module.

Clearly, the map

$$\pi_1 \colon P \times_f X \to P, \quad \pi_1(p, x) = p \qquad (p \in P, x \in X)$$

is an admissible epimorphism. Hence there exists a uniform approximate morphism $\{\psi_{\nu}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(P, P \times_f X)$ such that $\pi_1 \psi_{\nu} \to \mathbf{1}_P$ in the norm topology. Set $S_{\nu} = \pi_1 \psi_{\nu}$ and $T_{\nu} = \pi_2 \psi_{\nu}$, where $\pi_2 \colon P \times_f X \to X$ is the projection onto the second direct summand. We have

$$a \cdot \psi_{\nu}(x) - \psi_{\nu}(a \cdot x) = \left(a \cdot S_{\nu}(x), a \cdot T_{\nu}(x) - f(a, S_{\nu}(x))\right) - \left(S_{\nu}(a \cdot x), T_{\nu}(a \cdot x)\right).$$

Therefore

$$(\delta^{0}T_{\nu} - f)(a, x) = \pi_{2}(a \cdot \psi_{\nu}(x) - \psi_{\nu}(a \cdot x)) + f(a, S_{\nu}(x) - x). \tag{7}$$

Since $a \cdot \psi_{\nu}(x) - \psi_{\nu}(a \cdot x) \to 0$ uniformly on bounded subsets of A and X and $S_{\nu}(x) = (\pi_1 \psi_{\nu})(x) \to x$ uniformly on bounded subsets of X, it follows from (7) that $\delta^0 T_{\nu} \to f$ in the norm topology, as required.

Remark 2.3. By replacing in (v) and in Definition 2.1 the norm convergence with the uniform convergence on compact sets, we obtain the definition of approximately projective Banach module introduced by O. Yu. Aristov [1]. For approximately projective modules, the equivalences analogous to (iv) \iff (vi) \iff (vii) are also valid (see [1, 6.2]).

Theorem 2.7. Let A be a Banach algebra. The following properties of $I \in A$ -mod are equivalent:

- (i) I is injective.
- (ii) The topology on $\operatorname{Ext}_A^1(X,I)$ is trivial for each $X \in A$ -mod.
- (iii) For each diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\varkappa} X \tag{8}$$

in A-mod with \varkappa an admissible monomorphism, there exists a net $\{\varphi_{\nu}\}\$ in ${}_{A}\mathbf{h}(X,I)$ such that $\varphi_{\nu}\varkappa \to \varphi$ in the norm topology. Equivalently, for each admissible monomorphism $Y \to X$ in A-mod the induced map ${}_{A}\mathbf{h}(X,I) \to {}_{A}\mathbf{h}(Y,I)$ has dense range.

- (iv) For each diagram (8) in A-mod with \varkappa an admissible monomorphism, there exists a uniform approximate morphism $\{\psi_{\nu}\}$ in $\mathscr{B}(X,I)$ such that $\psi_{\nu}\varkappa = \varphi$ for all ν .
- (v) For each diagram (8) in A-mod with \varkappa an admissible monomorphism, there exists a uniform approximate morphism $\{\psi_{\nu}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(X,I)$ such that $\psi_{\nu}\varkappa \to \varphi$ in the norm topology.
- (vi) There exists an injective module $J \in A\text{-mod}$, an admissible monomorphism $\mu: I \to J$ and a uniform approximate morphism $\{\rho_{\nu}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(J, I)$ such that $\rho_{\nu}\mu \to \mathbf{1}_I$ in the norm topology.
- (vii) For each $X \in A$ -mod and each $f \in Z^1(A \times X, I)$, there exists a net $\{T_{\nu}\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(X, I)$ such that $\delta^0 T_{\nu} \to f$ in the norm topology.

The proof of Theorem 2.7 is similar to that of Theorem 2.6 and is therefore omitted.

Corollary 2.8. Let A be a Banach algebra. For $X \in A$ -mod, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) X is flat;
- (ii) for each admissible monomorphism $\varkappa \colon Y \to Z$ in mod-A the map

$$(\varkappa \otimes \mathbf{1}_X)^{**} : (Y \mathop{\widehat{\otimes}}_A X)^{**} \to (Z \mathop{\widehat{\otimes}}_A X)^{**}$$

is injective.

Proof. (i) \Longrightarrow (ii). If X is flat, then $\varkappa \otimes \mathbf{1}_X$ is topologically injective, and hence so is $(\varkappa \otimes \mathbf{1}_X)^{**}$.

(ii) \Longrightarrow (i). By the adjoint associativity formula [5, II.5.21], the dual map $(\varkappa \otimes \mathbf{1}_X)^*$ is identified with

$$\mathbf{h}_A(\varkappa, X^*) \colon \mathbf{h}_A(Z, X^*) \to \mathbf{h}_A(Y, X^*), \quad \psi \mapsto \psi \varkappa.$$

Since $(\varkappa \otimes \mathbf{1}_X)^{**}$ is injective, we see that $\mathbf{h}_A(\varkappa, X^*)$ has dense range. Since this holds for each admissible monomorphism \varkappa , Theorem 2.7 (iii) implies that X^* is injective in mod-A, i.e., that X is flat in A-mod.

3. Biprojective, biflat and amenable algebras

Let A be a Banach algebra, and let $\pi: A \widehat{\otimes} A \to A$ denote the product map. Recall that A is biprojective (respectively, biflat) if and only if there exists a morphism $\rho: A \to A \widehat{\otimes} A$ (respectively, $\rho: (A \widehat{\otimes} A)^* \to A^*$) in A-mod-A such that $\pi \rho = \mathbf{1}_A$ (respectively, $\rho \pi^* = \mathbf{1}_{A^*}$); see [5, IV.5.6 and VII.2.7] or [2, 2.8.41]. In this section we give approximate versions of these characterizations.

Corollary 3.1. Let A be a Banach algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) A is biprojective.
- (ii) There exists a uniform approximate A-bimodule morphism $\{\rho_{\nu}\}$ from A to $A \widehat{\otimes} A$ such that $\pi \rho_{\nu} \to \mathbf{1}_A$ in the norm topology.

Proof. (i) \Longrightarrow (ii). This is clear.

- (ii) \Longrightarrow (i). For each ν , denote by ρ^{ℓ}_{ν} the composition of ρ with the canonical embedding of $A \,\widehat{\otimes}\, A$ into $A_+ \,\widehat{\otimes}\, A$. Let $\pi^{\ell} \colon A_+ \,\widehat{\otimes}\, A \to A$ denote the product map extending π . Then it is clear that π^{ℓ} is an admissible epimorphism, that $\pi^{\ell}\rho^{\ell}_{\nu} \to \mathbf{1}_A$ in the norm topology, and that $\{\rho^{\ell}_{\nu}\}$ is a uniform approximate morphism from A to $A_+ \,\widehat{\otimes}\, A$. Since $A_+ \,\widehat{\otimes}\, A$ is projective in A-mod, Theorem 2.6 (vi) implies that so is A. Therefore $A \,\widehat{\otimes}\, A_+$ is projective in A-mod-A. Now a similar argument applied to the product map $\pi^r \colon A \,\widehat{\otimes}\, A_+ \to A$ shows that A is projective in A-mod-A.
- Remark 3.1. Weakened forms of condition (ii) were used by Y. Zhang [11] and O. Yu. Aristov [1] to define various "approximate" versions of biprojectivity.

Corollary 3.2. Let A be a Banach algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) A is biflat.
- (ii) There exists a uniform approximate A-bimodule morphism $\{\rho_{\nu}\}$ from $(A \widehat{\otimes} A)^*$ to A^* such that $\rho_{\nu}\pi^* \to \mathbf{1}_{A^*}$ in the norm topology.
- (iii) There exists a uniform approximate A-bimodule morphism $\{\mu_{\nu}\}$ from A to $(A \widehat{\otimes} A)^{**}$ such that $\pi^{**}\mu_{\nu} \to i_A$ in the norm topology.

Proof. (i) \iff (ii). The proof is dual to that of Corollary 3.1; cf. [5, VII.2.7] (ii) \implies (iii). Given ρ_{ν} , set $\mu_{\nu} = \rho_{\nu}^* i_A$. By Lemma 2.5, $\{\mu_{\nu}\}$ is a uniform approximate A-bimodule morphism. We have

$$\pi^{**}\mu_{\nu} = \pi^{**}\rho_{\nu}^{*}i_{A} = (\rho_{\nu}\pi^{*})^{*}i_{A} \to i_{A},$$

as required.

(iii) \Longrightarrow (ii). Given μ_{ν} , set $\rho_{\nu} = \mu_{\nu}^* i_{(A \widehat{\otimes} A)^*}$. By Lemma 2.5, $\{\rho_{\nu}\}$ is a uniform approximate A-bimodule morphism. We have

$$\rho_{\nu}\pi^{*} = \mu_{\nu}^{*}i_{(A\widehat{\otimes}A)^{*}}\pi^{*} = \mu_{\nu}^{*}\pi^{***}i_{A^{*}} = (\pi^{**}\mu_{\nu})^{*}i_{A^{*}} \to i_{A}^{*}i_{A^{*}} = \mathbf{1}_{A^{*}},$$

as required.

Recall that a Banach algebra A is amenable if and only if there exists an element $M \in (A_+ \widehat{\otimes} A_+)^{**}$ (called a *virtual diagonal* for A_+) such that $a \cdot M = M \cdot a$ for all $a \in A_+$ and $\pi_+^{**}(M) = i_{A_+}(1_+)$, where $\pi_+ \colon A_+ \widehat{\otimes} A_+ \to A_+$ is the product map and 1_+ is the identity of A_+ (see [8, 1.3] or [5, VII.2.25]). The following corollary shows that a virtual diagonal can be replaced by a "uniform approximate virtual diagonal".

Corollary 3.3. Let A be a Banach algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) A is amenable.
- (ii) There exists a net $\{M_{\nu}\}$ in $(A_{+} \widehat{\otimes} A_{+})^{**}$ such that $\pi_{+}^{**}(M_{\nu}) \to i_{A_{+}}(1_{+})$ and $a \cdot M_{\nu} M_{\nu} \cdot a \to 0$ uniformly on bounded subsets of A_{+} .

Proof. (i) \Longrightarrow (ii). This is clear.

(ii) \Longrightarrow (i). Define $\mu_{\nu} : A_{+} \to (A_{+} \widehat{\otimes} A_{+})^{**}$ by $\mu_{\nu}(a) = M_{\nu} \cdot a$. Obviously, μ_{ν} is a right A_{+} -module morphism. On the other hand,

$$\mu_{\nu}(ab) - a \cdot \mu_{\nu}(b) = (M_{\nu} \cdot a - a \cdot M_{\nu}) \cdot b \to 0$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of A_+ , so that $\{\mu_{\nu}\}$ is a uniform approximate A_+ -bimodule morphism. Finally,

$$\pi_+^{**}\mu_{\nu}(a) = \pi_+^{**}(M_{\nu} \cdot a) = \pi_+^{**}(M_{\nu}) \cdot a \to i_{A_+}(1_+) \cdot a = i_{A_+}(a)$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of A_+ , i.e., $\pi_+^{**}\mu_\nu \to i_{A_+}$ in the norm topology. Now Corollary 3.2 shows that A_+ is biflat, i.e., that A is amenable.

Remark 3.2. According to F. Ghahramani and R. J. Loy [3], a Banach algebra A is approximately amenable if for each Banach A-bimodule X and each continuous derivation $D: A \to X^*$ there exists a net $\{x_{\nu}\}$ in X^* such that $D = \lim_{\nu} \operatorname{ad}_{x_{\nu}}$ in the strong operator topology. By Theorem 2.1 from [3], A is approximately amenable if and only if it satisfies a condition similar to condition (ii) from Corollary 3.3 with uniform convergence replaced by pointwise convergence.

We end this section with an application to locally compact groups. Let G be a locally compact group with left Haar measure μ . If f is a function on G, then for each $x \in G$ we denote by $_xf$ the function defined by $_xf(y) = f(xy)$ $(y \in G)$. We endow $\mathbb C$ with the right Banach $L^1(G)$ -module structure determined by the homomorphism

$$L^1(G) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad a \mapsto \int_G a(s) \, d\mu(s).$$

Using the canonical isomorphism $L^1(G)^* \cong L^{\infty}(G)$, we consider $L^{\infty}(G)$ as a right Banach $L^1(G)$ -module. It is easy to check that for each $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ and each $a \in L^1(G)$ we have $f \cdot a = \tilde{a} * f$, where $\tilde{a}(x) = \Delta(x^{-1})a(x^{-1})$ and Δ is the modular function on G.

Corollary 3.4. Let G be a locally compact group. Then G is amenable if and only if there exists a net $\{m_{\nu}\}$ in $L^{\infty}(G)^*$ such that $m_{\nu}(1) \to 1$ and $m_{\nu}(xf) - m_{\nu}(f) \to 0$ uniformly on G and on bounded subsets of $L^{\infty}(G)$.

Proof. The "only if" part is clear. Conversely, let $\{m_{\nu}\}$ be a net with the indicated properties. We claim that $\{m_{\nu}\}$ is a uniform approximate morphism from $L^{\infty}(G)$ to \mathbb{C} . Indeed, for each $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ and each $a \in L^{1}(G)$ we have

$$|m_{\nu}(f \cdot a) - m_{\nu}(f) \cdot a| = \left| m_{\nu} \left(\int_{G} \tilde{a}(x) (x^{-1}f - f) d\mu(x) \right) \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_{G} \tilde{a}(x) m_{\nu} (x^{-1}f - f) d\mu(x) \right|$$

$$\leq ||a|| \sup_{x \in G} |m_{\nu} (x^{-1}f - f)| \to 0$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of $L^{\infty}(G)$ and $L^{1}(G)$. Therefore $\{m_{\nu}\}$ is a uniform approximate morphism from $L^{\infty}(G)$ to \mathbb{C} .

Define a linear map $i: \mathbb{C} \to L^{\infty}(G)$ by i(1) = 1. Clearly, i is an admissible monomorphism in $\mathsf{mod}\text{-}L^1(G)$, and $m_{\nu}i \to \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since $L^1(G)$ is projective in $L^1(G)\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ (see [5, IV.2.17]), it follows that $L^{\infty}(G)$ is injective in $\mathsf{mod}\text{-}L^1(G)$. Now Theorem 2.7 (vi) implies that \mathbb{C} is injective in $\mathsf{mod}\text{-}L^1(G)$. By [5, VII.2.33], this is equivalent to the amenability of G.

4. Homological dimensions

In this final section, we generalize Proposition 2.3 and Corollary 2.4 to higher Ext-groups.

Let A be a Banach algebra. Recall that the projective homological dimension (respectively, the injective homological dimension) of $X \in A$ -mod is the least integer n with the property that $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{n+1}(X,Y)=0$ (respectively, $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{n+1}(Y,X)=0$) for each $Y\in A$ -mod. The weak homological dimension of $X\in A$ -mod is the least integer n with the property that $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{n+1}(X,Y^*)=0$ for each $Y\in \operatorname{mod-}A$. The projective (respectively, injective, flat) homological dimension of X is denoted by $\operatorname{dh}_A X$ (respectively, inj. $\operatorname{dh}_A X$, w. $\operatorname{dh}_A X$). Obviously, w. $\operatorname{dh}_A X=\operatorname{inj.}\operatorname{dh}_{A^{\operatorname{op}}} X^*$ for each $X\in A$ -mod. Note that X is projective (respectively, injective, flat) if and only if $\operatorname{dh}_A X=0$ (respectively, inj. $\operatorname{dh}_A X=0$, w. $\operatorname{dh}_A X=0$).

For a Banach algebra A, the numbers $db A = dh_{A^e} A_+$ and w.db A = w. $dh_{A^e} A_+$ are called the homological bidimension and the weak homological bidimension of A, respectively. Note that A is contractible (respectively, amenable) if and only if db A = 0 (respectively, w.db A = 0).

Proposition 4.1. Let A be a Banach algebra and let $X \in A$ -mod.

- (i) Suppose that the topology on $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{n+1}(X,Y)$ is trivial for each $Y \in A$ -mod. Then $\operatorname{dh}_A X \leq n$.
- (ii) Suppose that the topology on $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{n+1}(Y,X)$ is trivial for each $Y \in A$ -mod. Then inj.dh_A $X \leq n$.
- (iii) Suppose that the topology on $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{n+1}(X,Y^*)$ is trivial for each $Y \in \operatorname{\mathsf{mod-}} A.$ Then $\operatorname{w.dh}_A X \leq n.$

Proof. (i) Take an admissible sequence

$$0 \to Z \to P_{n-1} \to \cdots \to P_0 \to X \to 0$$

with P_0, \ldots, P_{n-1} projective. A standard argument (cf. [5, II.5.4]) shows that $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{n+1}(X,Y) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_A^1(Z,Y)$ for each $Y \in A$ -mod, the isomorphism being topological by Lemma 2.1. By Proposition 2.3 (i), Z is projective. Therefore $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{n+1}(X,Y) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_A^1(Z,Y) = 0$ for each $Y \in A$ -mod, i.e., $\operatorname{dh}_A X \leq n$, as required.

(ii) Take an admissible sequence

$$0 \to X \to I_0 \to \cdots \to I_{n-1} \to Z \to 0$$

with I_0, \ldots, I_{n-1} injective. Then the same argument as above shows that Z is injective. Therefore $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{n+1}(Y,X) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_A^1(Y,Z) = 0$ for each $Y \in A$ -mod, i.e., inj.dh_A $X \leq n$, as required.

(iii) This follows from (ii) applied to $X^* \in A^{\text{op}}$ -mod.

Corollary 4.2. Let A be a Banach algebra.

- (i) Suppose that the topology on $\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(A, X)$ is trivial for each $X \in A$ -mod-A. Then $\operatorname{db} A \leq n$.
- (ii) Suppose that the topology on $\mathscr{H}^{n+1}(A,X^*)$ is trivial for each $X\in A\operatorname{-mod-}A$. Then w.db $A\leq n$.

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to H. G. Dales, F. Ghahramani and A. Ya. Helemskii for valuable discussions.

References

- [1] Aristov, O. Yu. On the approximation of flat Banach modules by free Banach modules. Math. Sbornik **196** (2005), no. 11 (in Russian).
- [2] Dales, H. G. Banach algebras and automatic continuity. London Mathematical Society Monographs, New Series, 24. Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [3] Ghahramani, F., Loy, R. J. Generalized notions of amenability. J. Funct. Anal. 208 (2004). no. 1, 229–260.
- [4] Ghahramani, F., Loy, R. J., Zhang, Y. Generalized notions of amenability II. In preparation.
- [5] Helemskii, A. Ya. *The Homology of Banach and Topological Algebras*, Moscow University Press, 1986 (Russian); English transl.: Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [6] Helemskii, A. Ya. Banach and Polynormed Algebras: General Theory, Representations, Homology, Nauka, Moscow, 1989 (Russian); English transl.: Oxford University Press, 1993.
- [7] Johnson, B. E. Cohomology in Banach algebras, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1972).
- [8] Johnson, B. E. Approximate diagonals and cohomology of certain annihilator Banach algebras. Amer. J. Math. 94 (1972). no. 3, 685–698.
- [9] Pirkovskii, A. Yu. On certain homological properties of Stein algebras. J. Math. Sci. (New York) **95** (1999), no. 6, 2690–2702.
- [10] Ramis, J.-P., Ruget, G. Complexe dualisant et théorèmes de dualité en géométrie analytique complexe. Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 38 (1970), no. 2, 77–91.
- [11] Zhang, Y. Nilpotent ideals in a class of Banach algebras. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1999), no. 11, 3237–3242.

DEPARTMENT OF NONLINEAR ANALYSIS AND OPTIMIZATION FACULTY OF SCIENCE
PEOPLES' FRIENDSHIP UNIVERSITY OF RUSSIA
MIKLUHO-MAKLAYA 6
117198 MOSCOW
RUSSIA

Address for correspondence:

Krupskoi 8–3–89 Moscow 119311 Russia

 $E ext{-}mail:$ pirkosha@sci.pfu.edu.ru, pirkosha@online.ru